



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,052	11/23/2001	Hajime Homma	NEC 01FN050	4465
75	90 06/24/2004		EXAMI	NER
Norman P. Soloway			TRAN, HENRY N	
HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GROSSMAN &	•			TATER NUMBER
175 Canal Street			2674	س.
Manchester, NH 03101			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/992,052	HOMMA, HAJIME					
narioory Motion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	HENRY N TRAN	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-7,13-16 and</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	1 18 would be allowable if submit	ted in a separate, timely filed					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		· ·					
Claim(s) allowed: None.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-7,9 and 12-18</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,8,10 and 11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on 23 November 2001	is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
		Henry N. Trom					

Henry N. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674

6/23/04



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added claimed terms recited in lines 13-14 of claim 1, lines 11-12 of claim 8, and lines 10-11 of claim 1 or aise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search for the claims 1, 8-12 and 17.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the new issues raised by the amended independent claims 1, 8 and 10.

Applicant's Arguments/Remarks provided in pages 12-13 of the above-identified Reply have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the newly raised issues particulary identified in section 2 above. Further, applicant's argued that the construction of the plasma display panel of the claimed invention requires that "one both sides ...is arranged", which is different than that of the cited prior art. The examiner disagrees because Amemiya invention (U.S. Patent No. 5,877,734) shows exactly that construction, see Fig. 3. Also further, the drawings submitted on 11/23/01 do not illustrate the differences between the prior art and the construction of the plasma display panel of the claimed invention as argued.